Bayesian k-Space Estimation for FMRI

Daniel B. Rowe

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences Marquette University



SMI 2024 Indianapolis May 30, 2024



Marquette University Outline

- **1. Introduction**
- 2. Methods
- **3. Experimental Results**
- 4. Discussion







1. Introduction

In fMRI, a subject is placed in the MRI machine and data for slice-wise volume images of their brain are measured.





Rowe Lab





voxel volume-element

Volume Image



1. Introduction

Spatial frequencies are measured and images are IDFT reconstructed.



Rowe DB



T_2^* weighted images $n_x = n_y = 96$ FOV=240 mm TR=1 image



1. Introduction

Spatial frequencies are measured and images are IDFT reconstructed.



Rowe DB



T_2^* weighted images $n_x = n_y = 96$ FOV=240 mm TR=1 image



1. Introduction

The problem is that often images have low contrast and are very noisy.



Rowe Lab



6

T_2^* weighted images $n_x = n_y = 96$ FOV=240 mm TR=4 image

1. Introduction

We can use first three discarded images to enhance images four on.



Rowe Lab



 T_2^* weighted images $n_x = n_y = 96$ FOV=240 mm TR=1 to 4 images



1. Introduction

FMRI images are not measured directly, but their k-space is.



Rowe Lab



$r = (k_R^2 + k_I^2)^{1/2}$ $\phi = \operatorname{atan}(k_I / k_R)$



2. Methods - Likelihood

The ADCs measure independent normally distributed real and imaginary parts of a given spatial frequency coefficient as

$$f(k_R, k_I \mid \rho, \theta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{(k_R - \rho\cos\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{(k_I - \rho\sin\theta)}{2\sigma^2}\right] \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left[$$

we can convert to polar coordinates as

$$f(r,\phi \mid \rho,\theta,\sigma^2) = \frac{r}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[r^2 + \rho^2 - 2r\rho\cos(\phi - \theta)\right]\right\}$$











2. Methods - Likelihood

It can be shown that the marginal distribution of the magnitude is Ricean

$$f(r \mid \rho, \sigma^2) = \frac{r}{\sigma^2} \exp\left\{-\frac{r^2 + \rho^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} I_0\left(\frac{r\rho}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

and the conditional distribution of the phase given the magnitude is von Mises $\left(r o \cos(\phi - \theta)\right)$

$$f(\phi \mid r, \rho, \theta, \sigma^2) = \frac{\exp\left\{\frac{r\rho\cos(\phi - \sigma)}{\sigma^2}\right\}}{2\pi I_0\left(\frac{r\rho}{\sigma^2}\right)}.$$







2. Methods - Priors

From the form of the likelihood, we can utilize priors to be Ricean for the magnitude as

$$f(\rho \mid \rho_0, \sigma^2, \gamma) = \frac{\rho}{\sigma^2 / \gamma} \exp\left\{-\frac{\rho^2 + \rho_0^2}{2\sigma^2 / \gamma}\right\} I_0\left(\frac{\rho\rho_0}{\sigma^2 / \gamma}\right),$$

von Mises for the phase conditional on the magnitude as

$$f(\theta \mid \rho, \sigma^2, \theta_0, \gamma) = \exp\left\{\frac{\rho \rho_0 \cos(\theta - \theta_0)}{\sigma^2 / \gamma}\right\} / 2\pi I_0\left(\frac{\rho \rho_0}{\sigma^2 / \gamma}\right)$$

and of course inverse gamma for the variance as

$$f(\sigma^2 \mid \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\sigma^2)^{\alpha+1}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\beta}{\sigma^2}\right\}$$

Similar to BIFS model which assumes real images and needs MCMC.



2. Methods - Posterior

The joint posterior distribution is not easily marginalizable. Fortunately, we can obtain posterior conditionals

$$f(\theta \mid \rho, \sigma^{2}, r, \phi) = \frac{\exp\{\kappa \cos(\theta - \lambda)\}}{2\pi I_{0}(\kappa)} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa = c\rho / \sigma^{2} & a \\ \lambda = \arctan(b / a) \end{aligned}$$

for the phase, and

$$f(\rho \mid \theta, \sigma^{2}, r, \phi) = \frac{2B^{A/2}\rho^{A-1}}{\Psi\left(\frac{A}{2}, \frac{C}{\sqrt{B}}\right)} \exp\left\{-B\rho^{2} + C\rho\right\}$$

Modified Half Normal

$$f(\sigma^{2} | \rho, \theta, r, \phi) = \frac{(\beta^{*})^{\alpha^{*}}}{\Gamma(\alpha^{*})(\sigma^{2})^{\alpha^{*}+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^{*}}{\sigma^{2}}\right)$$

Inverse Gamma

for the variance.

Rowe Lab

$$c = \operatorname{sign}(a)$$

$$A = 2$$

$$B = (\gamma + 1) / (2\sigma^{2})$$

$$C = [\rho_{0}\gamma \cos(\theta - \theta_{0}) + r\cos(\phi - \theta)] / \sigma^{2}$$

$$\alpha^* = \alpha + 2$$

$$\beta^* = \begin{cases} (\gamma + 1)\rho^2 \\ -2\rho[\rho_0\gamma\cos(\theta - \theta_0) \\ +(\gamma\rho_0^2 + r^2 + 2\beta) \end{cases}$$

Sun J, Kong M, Pal, 2021.



$a = \rho_0 \gamma \cos(\theta_0) + r \cos(\phi)$ $= \rho_0 \gamma \sin\left(\theta_0\right) + r \sin\left(\phi\right)$ = sign $(a)\sqrt{a^2+b^2}$

 $+r\cos(\phi-\theta)]$ /2



2. Methods

Since we have the posterior conditionals, $\theta|\rho,\sigma^2 \sim VM(\lambda,\kappa), \ \rho|\theta,\sigma^2 \sim MHN(A,B,C), \text{ and } \sigma^2|\rho,\theta \sim IG(\alpha^*,\beta^*)$

we could implement a Gibbs sampler to generate a large sample $(\theta_{(1)}, \rho_{(1)}, \sigma_{(1)}^2), \dots, (\theta_{(L)}, \rho_{(L)}, \sigma_{(L)}^2)$ from the posterior distribution and calculate marginal posterior means.

But this is very computationally expensive, and may involve acceptance-rejection sampling for the modified half normal.







2. Methods

Alternatively, we can obtain maximum *a posteriori* estimates of the parameters using the ICM algorithm that cycles through the modes of the posterior conditionals

$$\hat{\theta} = \arctan\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)$$
$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{C + \sqrt{C^2 + 8B(A-1)}}{4B}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\beta^*}{\alpha^* + 1}$$

Rowe Lab

until convergence at MAP.

$$a = \rho_0 \gamma \cos(\theta_0) + r \cos(\phi)$$
$$b = \rho_0 \gamma \sin(\theta_0) + r \sin(\phi)$$

$$A = 2$$

$$B = (\gamma + 1) / (2\sigma^{2})$$

$$C = [\rho_{0}\gamma \cos(\theta - \theta_{0}) + r\cos(\phi + \theta_{0})]$$

$$\alpha^* = \alpha + 2$$

$$\beta^* = \begin{cases} (\gamma + 1)\rho^2 \\ -2\rho[\rho_0\gamma\cos(\theta - \theta_0) \\ +(\gamma\rho_0^2 + r^2 + 2\beta) \end{cases}$$

Lindley and Smith, 1972; O'Hagan, 1994





 $+r\cos(\phi-\theta)]$ / 2



3. Experimental Results

FMRI data from a block design right-hand finger tapping experiment. $n_x = n_y = 128$, $n_z = 7$, TH=2.5mm, FOV=240mm, TE=60.4ms, EESP=0.832ms, TR=1s

The experiment timing was an initial 16s of rest followed by 19 epochs of 16s of task alternating with 16s of rest resulting in a total of $n_{t}=624$ total image volumes.

Following standard practice the first $n_0=3$ volume images are omitted resulting in n=621 image volumes for analysis.

However, these first omitted images are perfect for hyperparameter **assessment.** $\theta_0 = \operatorname{atan}_4(\bar{k}_I / \bar{k}_R)$, $\gamma = n_0$, $\rho_0 = (\bar{k}_x + \bar{k}_y)^{1/2}$, $\alpha = n_0 - 1$, $\beta = (n_0 - 1)\sigma_0^2$, $\sigma_0^2 = \frac{s_R^2 + s_I^2}{2}$





3. Experimental Results

Prior *k*-space phase mean.



Rowe Lab





π

-π



3. Experimental Results

Prior *k*-space magnitude mean.







3. Experimental Results

Prior *k*-space variance parameter.



Rowe Lab



 $\sigma_0^2 = \frac{s_R^2 + s_I^2}{2}$



2e5



3. Experimental Results

Posterior *k*-space phase.



Rowe Lab





π

-π



3. Experimental Results

Posterior *k*-space magnitude.







3. Experimental Results

Posterior IDFT image phase.



Rowe Lab







-π



3. Experimental Results

Posterior IDFT image magnitude.



Rowe Lab







5



1. Introduction

The problem is that often images have low contrast and are very noisy.



Rowe Lab

Recall



24

T_2^* weighted images $n_x = n_y = 96$ FOV=240 mm TR=4 image

Rowe Lab

4. Discussion

Images are noisy.



Use Bayesian Statistics to improve

 $f(\theta \mid \rho, \sigma^2, \theta_0, \gamma)$ $f(\rho \mid \rho_0, \sigma^2, \gamma)$ $f(\sigma^2 \mid \alpha, \beta)$

Posterior images have lower noise and higher signal.





Thank You

Questions?

Daniel.Rowe@Marquette.edu





