# Utilizing Induced Voxel Correlation in fMRI Analysis

# Daniel B. Rowe, Ph.D.

Joint with Andrew S. Nencka

Associate Professor Computational Sciences Program Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science



Adjunct Associate Professor Department of Biophysics



August 3, 2011



# **OUTLINE 1. Reconstruction-Preprocessing**

- 2. Induced Correlation
- **3. Utilizing Induced Correlation**
- 4. Results
- **5.** Discussion



## **Reconstruction: 1D FT**

 $(n=256, \Delta t=2 \text{ s})$ 





#### **Reconstruction: 1D FT** $(n=256, \Delta t=2 \text{ s})$ $(\Omega_R + i \Omega_I)$ $\times (y_R + i y_I) = (f_R + i f_I)$ 32 64 64 96 128 128 128 160 160 192 64 128 192 256 256 .25 64 128 sum $10\cos(2\pi 0/512t)$ $3sin(2\pi 8/512t)$ $\cos(2\pi 32/512t)$ $sin(2\pi 4/512t)$ There are lines at the frequency locations.

Real part (image) represents constituent cosine frequencies. Imaginary part (image) represents constituent sine frequencies. Intensity of the lines represents amplitude of that frequency. 4



### (FOV=192 mm) $(n_x=n_y=96, \Delta x=\Delta y=2 \text{ mm})$



sum

# **Reconstruction: 2D FT**



 $<sup>\</sup>sin(2\pi 24/96y)$ 

 $\cos(2\pi 4/96x + 2\pi 4/96y)$ 



### (FOV=192 mm) **Reconstruction: 2D FT** $(n_x=n_y=96, \Delta x=\Delta y=2 mm)$ $(\overline{\Omega}_{yR}+i \overline{\Omega}_{yI}) \times (V_R+i V_I) \times (\overline{\Omega}_{xR}+i \overline{\Omega}_{xI})^T = (F_R+i F_I)$





**Spatial Frequencies** 



# **Reconstruction: 2D IFT**

 $(\Omega_{yR} + i \Omega_{yI}) \quad \times \quad (F_R + i F_I) \quad \times \quad (\Omega_{xR} + i \Omega_{xI})^T = (V_R + i V_I)$ 



**Spatial Frequencies** 



### **Reconstruction: 2D IFT Isomorphism**



Rowe, Nencka, Hoffmann: JNSM, 159:361-369, 2007.



Nencka, Hahn, Rowe: JNSM, 181:268-82, 2009.



8 12

19

23

### **Reconstruction: Processing Image**

$$v = O_I \times \Omega_a \times O_k \times f$$
  
These operators are:



 $\Omega_a = \Omega$  adjusted for  $\Delta B$  and for  $T_2^*$ .

 $O_I = I_2 \otimes S_m \iff$ 

Image smoothing

Nencka, Hahn, Rowe: JNSM, 181:268-282, 2009.





# **Induced Correlation: Mean and Covariance** If $E(f)=f_0$ , then for *Of*, $E(Of)=Of_0$ .

# If $cov(f) = \Gamma$ , then for *Of*, $cov(Of) = O\Gamma O^T$ .

This means that with  $v = O_I \Omega_a O_k f$ .  $E(v) = O_I \Omega_a O_k f_0$   $\operatorname{cov}(v) = (O_I \Omega_a O_k) \Gamma(O_k^T \Omega_a^T O_I^T) = \sum_{2p \times 2p} \operatorname{spatial Covariance} \operatorname{cor}(v) = R_{\Sigma} \operatorname{spatial Correlation}$ So even if  $\Gamma = \sigma_k^2 I$ , it is not necessarily true that  $\Sigma = \sigma_I^2 I$ !

This has  $H_0$  fMRI noise and fcMRI connectivity implications!

Nencka, Hahn, Rowe: JNSM, 181:268-282, 2009.



13

## **Induced Correlation: Matrix to Image**



Rowe  $f(t) = \iint \rho(x, y) e^{-t/T_2^*(x, y)} e^{-i\gamma\Delta B(x, y)t} e^{-i2\pi(k_x x + k_y y)} dxdy$ Induced Correlation: Simulation Parameters  $\rho(x, y) \qquad T_2^*(x, y) \qquad \Delta B_\theta(x, y)$ 

> 0.08 0.07 0.06 0.05

0.04 0.03

).02



H≠I

0.5

16 over scan lines

BW=250 kHz  $\Delta t = 4 \ \mu$ s EES=0.96 ms TE=50.0 ms



 $\mathcal{A} \neq I$ 

Nencka, Hahn, Rowe: JNSM, 181:268-282, 2009.









# Induced Correlation: SENSE Multi Coil Combine

$$y = O_{I} P_{u} U P_{S}P_{C} (I_{n} \otimes \Omega_{a}O_{k}) f$$
where
$$O_{I} = (f_{1}, ..., f_{n})' \text{ are coil } k\text{-space}$$

$$O_{k} \text{ is } k\text{-space preprocessing}$$

$$\Omega_{a} \text{ is adj. inverse Fourier matrix} \Omega_{a} = \Omega \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{adjusted for } \Delta B \\ \text{and for } T_{2}^{*} \end{array}$$

$$U \quad \text{SENSE unfolding matrix}$$

$$O_{I} \text{ is image space preprocessing}$$

$$M = O_{I} = I_{2} \otimes S_{m}$$



Induced Correlation: SENSE Multi Coil Combine Statistical Expectation and Covariance.

If  $E(f)=f_0$ , then for Mf,  $E(Mf)=Mf_0$ .

If  $cov(f) = \Gamma$ , then for Mf,  $cov(Mf) = M\Gamma M'$ .

This means that with y = Of,

 $E(y) = Of_0 \text{ and } cov(y) = O\Gamma O' = \sum_{2p \times 2p}$  $\Rightarrow cor(v) = D_{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \Sigma D_{\Sigma}^{-1/2}$ 

So even if  $\Gamma = \sigma^2 I$ , it is not necessarily true that  $\Sigma = \sigma^2 I$  ! This has  $H_0$  fMRI noise and fcMRI connectivity implications!



Correlations induced about the center voxel.

# Induced Correlation: SENSE Multi Coil Combine

 $N_X = 96$   $N_Y = 96$  n = 4 A = 3FWHM=3

Functional connectivity implications





TH=0.01



Nencka, Rowe: In Progress.





**Induced Correlation: Extend to Time Series** 



Nencka, Rowe: In Progress.



# Induced Correlation: Extend to Time Series

(dyn  $\Delta B_0$ ,  $\Delta x$ ,  $\Delta t$ , freq filt)

 $y = T \cdot P \cdot IRK \cdot f$ 





## **Induced Correlation: Mean and Covariance**

If  $E(f)=f_0$ , then for  $E(Of)=Of_0$ . If  $\operatorname{cov}(f)=\Gamma$ , then for  $\operatorname{cov}(Of)=O\Gamma O^T$ . This means that with  $y = \underline{TPIRKf}$ .  $E(y) = TPIRKf_0$   $\operatorname{cov}(y) = (TPIRK)\Gamma(K^TR^TI^TP^TT^T) = \sum_{2np\times 2np}$  $\operatorname{cor}(y) = R_{\Sigma}$   $\operatorname{Spatio-Temporal Correlation}$ 

So even if  $\Gamma = \sigma_k^2 I$ , it is not necessarily true that  $\Sigma = \sigma_I^2 I$  !

This has  $H_0$  fMRI noise and fcMRI connectivity implications!



### O = TPIRK

800×800





Nencka, Rowe: In Progress.



Nencka, Rowe: In Progress.





Nencka, Rowe: In Progress.



# **Utilizing Induced Correlation:**



and the magnitude<sup>2</sup> covariance is

$$\delta_{j} = tr(\Sigma_{j}) + \mu'_{j}\mu_{j}$$

$$\Lambda_{jj} = 2tr(\Sigma'_{j}\Sigma_{j}) + 4\mu'_{j}\Sigma_{j}\mu_{j} ,$$

$$\Lambda_{jk} = 2tr(\Sigma'_{jk}\Sigma_{jk}) + 4\mu'_{j}\Sigma_{jk}\mu_{k} ,$$



# **Utilizing Induced Correlation:**

**Complex-Valued** 

$$C_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{j1} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{jn} \end{pmatrix} S_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_{j1} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \sin \theta_{jn} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{jR} \\ y_{jI} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_j X \beta_j \\ S_j X \beta_j \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{jR} \\ \eta_{jI} \end{pmatrix}$$

Compute activation individually for each voxel.

Magnitude-Only (assuming high SNR)

$$m_j = X \beta_j + \varepsilon_j,$$

Compute activation individually for each voxel.

Can form larger spatio-tempporal model.

Nencka, Hahn, Rowe: JNSM, 181:268-282, 2009.

$$\eta_j \sim N(0, \Sigma_j)$$

,

Incorporate Induced Covariance  $\downarrow$  $\mathcal{E}_{i} \sim N(0, \Lambda_{i})$ 



# **Utilizing Induced Correlation:** Complex fMRI The fMRI data is truly complex-valued images and voxel time series, $y_t = y_{Rt} + iy_{It}$ . $\uparrow$ given voxel at time t

225-

t=1

 $y_{It}$ 

2157

Imaginary

Real

 $y_{Rt}$ 



### Utilizing Induced Correlation: Magnitude-Only fMRI Complex-valued images to magnitude and phase images and time series, $y_t = m_t \exp[i\varphi_t]$ . Polar Coordinates Magnitude-Phase n given voxel at time t Phase discarded! (in nearly all fMRI) $\frac{1}{2}$ of numbers are discarded (and processed) **Biological information** in phase through space! And also through time. t=1Magnitude Phase m. $\varphi_t$





MO

#### PO

MP



### **Results:** Independent

20s off+16×(8 s on 8 s off), 276 TRs 12 axial slices, 96 × 96, FOV = 24 cm TH = 2.5 mm, TR = 1 s, TE = 34.6 ms FA =  $45^{\circ}$ , BW = 125 kHz, ES = .708 ms

20s off+16×(8 s on 8 s off), 276 TRs 10 axial slices, 96 × 96, FOV = 24 cm TH = 2.5 mm, TR = 1 s, TE = 42.8 ms FA = 45°, BW = 125 kHz, ES = .768 ms

20s off+16×(8 s on 8 s off), 276 TRs 10 axial slices, 96 × 96, FOV = 24 cm, TH = 2.5 mm, TR = 1 s, TE = 42.8 ms FA = 45°, BW = 125 kHz, ES = . 768 ms

20s off+10×(8 s on 8 s off), 180 TRs 9 axial slices,  $64 \times 64$ , FOV = 24 cm TH = 3.8 mm, TR = 1 s, TE = 26.0 ms FA = 45°, BW = 125 kHz, ES = .680 ms



Rowe: NIMG, 25:1310-1324, 2005. Rowe: MRM, to appear, 2009. Hahn, Nencka, Rowe: NIMG, 742-752, 2009. Hahn, Nencka, Rowe: HBM, Online, 2011.





### **Discussion:**

When DATA ANLYSTS preprocess RESEARCHERS data,

THEY change the mean and covariance structure.

Many preprocessing operations have been shown

to modify or induce a correlation.

WE need to utilize this correlation in OUR analysis model!



# **Thank You**

Acknowledgements:

Marquette University Iain P. Bruce, M.S. M. Muge Karaman, M.S.

Medical College of Wisconsin Andrew S. Nencka, Ph.D Andrew D. Hahn, Ph.D.