## Utilizing Induced Voxel Correlation in fMRI Analysis

## Daniel B. Rowe, Ph.D.

Joint with Andrew S. Nencka
Associate Professor Computational Sciences Program

Department of Mathematics,
Statistics, and Computer Science


Adjunct Associate Professor
Department of Biophysics


## OUTLINE

1. Reconstruction-Preprocessing
2. Induced Correlation
3. Utilizing Induced Correlation
4. Results

5. Discussion

## Reconstruction: 1D FT <br> $$
(n=256, \Delta t=2 \mathrm{~s})
$$



$3 \sin (2 \pi 8 / 512 t)$

$\sin (2 \pi 4 / 512 t)$

sum

$\cos (2 \pi 32 / 512 t)$

Reconstruction: 1D FT

$$
\left(\bar{\Omega}_{R}+i \bar{\Omega}_{I}\right)
$$



$$
\times\left(y_{R}+i y_{I}\right)=\left(f_{R}+i f_{I}\right)
$$

$$
(n=256, \Delta t=2 \mathrm{~s})
$$



There are lines at the frequency locations. Real part (image) represents constituent cosine frequencies. Imaginary part (image) represents constituent sine frequencies. Intensity of the lines represents amplitude of that frequency.

$$
(\mathrm{FOV}=192 \mathrm{~mm})
$$

Reconstruction: 2D FT
$\square$
$10 \cos (2 \pi 0 / 96 x)$

$\sin (2 \pi 24 / 96 y)$

$1.5 \cos (2 \pi 8 / 96 x)$

$\cos (2 \pi 4 / 96 x+2 \pi 4 / 96 y)$

sum

$$
(\mathrm{FOV}=192 \mathrm{~mm})
$$

Reconstruction: 2D FT

$$
\left(n_{x}=n_{y}=96, \Delta x=\Delta y=2 \mathrm{~mm}\right)
$$

$\left(\bar{\Omega}_{y R}+i \bar{\Omega}_{y I}\right) \quad \times \quad\left(V_{R}+i V_{I}\right)$
$\times$
$\times\left(\bar{\Omega}_{x R}+i \bar{\Omega}_{x I}\right)^{T}=\left(F_{R}+i F_{I}\right)$

sum


$$
(\mathrm{FOV}=192 \mathrm{~mm})
$$

$$
\left(n_{x}=n_{y}=96, \Delta x=\Delta y=2 \mathrm{~mm}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\Omega_{y R}+i \Omega_{y I}\right) \times\left(F_{R}+i F_{I}\right) / \times\left(\Omega_{x R}+i \Omega_{x I}\right)^{T}=\left(V_{R}+i V_{I}\right)
$$
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Reconstruction: 2D IFT

$$
\left(\Omega_{y R}+i \Omega_{y l}\right) \times\left(F_{R}+i F_{I}\right) \quad \times\left(\Omega_{x R}+i \Omega_{x l}\right)^{T}=\left(V_{R}+i V_{I}\right)
$$



Spatial Frequencies

Reconstruction: 2D IFT Isomorphism


## Reconstruction: Processing Image

$$
v=O_{I} \times
$$

$\Omega_{a} \longleftarrow_{\text {adiusted }}$


$$
\times \overbrace{\substack{\text { } \\ k \text {-space } \\ \text { processing }}} \times
$$



## Reconstruction: Processing Image

$$
v=O_{I} \times \Omega_{a} \times O_{k} \times f
$$

These operators are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=P_{C} \mathcal{R} C \mathcal{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O_{k}=\mathcal{A} \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{H} \underbrace{P_{\text {row }}^{-1} \Omega_{\text {row }}^{-1} \Phi \Omega_{\text {row }} P_{R}}_{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Omega_{a}=\Omega$ adjusted for $\Delta B$ and for $T_{2}^{*}$.
$O_{I}=I_{2} \otimes S_{m} \longleftarrow$ Image smooting


## Rowe

## Induced Correlation: Mean and Covariance

If $E(f)=f_{0}$, then for $O f, E(O f)=O f_{0}$.
If $\operatorname{cov}(f)=\Gamma$, then for $O f, \operatorname{cov}(O f)=O \Gamma O^{T}$.
This means that with $v=O_{I} \Omega_{a} O_{k} f$.

$$
E(v)=O_{I} \Omega_{a} O_{k} f_{0}
$$

$\operatorname{cov}(v)=\left(O_{I} \Omega_{a} O_{k}\right) \Gamma\left(O_{k}^{T} \Omega_{a}^{T} O_{I}^{T}\right)=\sum_{2 p \times 2 p} \longleftarrow$ spaital Covaizance
$\operatorname{cor}(\nu)=R_{\Sigma} \longleftarrow$ spailia Conelation
So even if $\Gamma=\sigma_{k}^{2} I$, it is not necessarily true that $\Sigma=\sigma_{I}^{2} I$ !
This has $H_{0} \mathrm{fMRI}$ noise and fcMRI connectivity implications!

## Induced Correlation: Matrix to Image



Rowe

$$
f(t)=\iint \rho(x, y) e^{-t / T_{2}^{*}(x, y)} e^{-i \gamma \Delta B(x, y) t} e^{-i 2 \pi\left(k_{x} x+k_{y} y\right)} d x d y
$$

Induced Correlation: Simulation Parameters

$\mathcal{A} \neq \mathrm{I}$

$\mathcal{H} \neq \mathrm{I}$
16 over scan lines
$\mathrm{BW}=250 \mathrm{kHz}$
$\Delta t=4 \mu \mathrm{~s}$
EES=0.96 ms
TE=50.0 ms


FWHM=3 pixels




## Rowe

## Induced Correlation: SENSE Multi Coil Combine

$$
y=\underbrace{O_{I} \quad P_{u}} \quad U P_{S} P_{C} \quad\left(I_{n} \otimes \Omega_{a} O_{k}\right) f
$$

where
k-space vector
O
$f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ are coil $k$-space
$O_{k}$ is $k$-space preprocessing

$$
O_{k}=\mathcal{A} \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{H} \underbrace{P_{R}^{-1} \Omega_{\text {row }}^{-1} \Phi \Omega_{\text {row }} P_{R}}
$$

$\Omega_{a}$ is adj. inverse Fourier matrix $\Omega_{a}=\Omega \begin{aligned} & \text { adjusted for } \\ & \text { and for } T_{2}^{*}\end{aligned}$
$P_{u}, P_{s}, P_{c}$, permutation matrices
$O_{I}=I_{2} \otimes S_{m}$
$U$ SENSE unfolding matrix
Image smoothing
$O_{I}$ is image space preprocessing

## Rowe

## Induced Correlation: SENSE Multi Coil Combine

 Statistical Expectation and Covariance.If $E(f)=f_{0}$, then for $M f, E(M f)=M f_{0}$.
If $\operatorname{cov}(f)=\Gamma$, then for $M f, \operatorname{cov}(M f)=M \Gamma M^{\prime}$.
This means that with $y=O f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(y)=O f_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{cov}(y)=O \Gamma O^{\prime}=\Sigma_{2, v \Sigma \nu} \\
& \rightarrow \operatorname{cor}(v)=D_{\Sigma}^{-1 / 2} \Sigma D_{\Sigma}^{-1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So even if $\Gamma=\sigma^{2} I$, it is not necessarily true that $\Sigma=\sigma^{2} I$ !
This has $H_{0} \mathrm{fMRI}$ noise and fcMRI connectivity implications!

Induced Correlation: SENSE Multi Coil Combine

Functional connectivity implications


Induced Correlation: Extend to Time Series
Reconstruction of $n$ images described as:


## Induced Correlation: Extend to Time Series


imaginary


## Rowe

## Induced Correlation: Extend to Time Series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (dyn } \Delta \mathrm{B}_{0}, \Delta x, \Delta t \text {, freq filt) } \\
& y=T \cdot P \cdot I R K \cdot f \\
& \text { voxel } 1 \text { temporal processing }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rowe

## Induced Correlation: Mean and Covariance

If $E(f)=f_{0}$, then for $E(O f)=O f_{0}$.
If $\operatorname{cov}(f)=\Gamma$, then for $\operatorname{cov}(O f)=O Г O^{T}$.
This means that with $y=T P I R K f$.
$E(y)=T P I R K f_{0}$
O
Spatio-Temporal Covariance
HUGE
$\operatorname{cov}(y)=(T P I R K) \Gamma\left(K^{T} R^{T} I^{T} P^{T} T^{T}\right)=\Sigma$
$2 n p \times 2 n p$
$\operatorname{Cor}(\mathcal{Y})=R_{\Sigma} \longleftarrow$ Spatio-Temporal Correlation
So even if $\Gamma=\sigma_{k}^{2} I$, it is not necessarily true that $\Sigma=\sigma_{I}^{2} I$ !
This has $H_{0} \mathrm{fMRI}$ noise and fcMRI connectivity implications!

$$
O=T P I R K
$$

## Induced Correlation: Example $5 \times 5$ image 8 TRs 2 slices

No Operations $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ * Decay


Apodization, $\mathcal{A}$


Timing Correction Temporal Filtering All Operations


$$
\sum_{800 \times 800}=O O^{T} \rightarrow R_{800 \times 800}
$$

$\Delta B_{n}$ Error
Temporal Fliering Timing Correction Motion Correction All Operations

Rowe

$$
\sum_{100 \times 100}=O I O^{T} \rightarrow R_{\substack{100 \times 100}}
$$

## Induced Correlation: Example $5 \times 5$ image 8 TRs 2 slices

No Operations
Apodization, $\mathcal{A}$
$\Delta B_{0}$ Error


T,* Decay
Temporal Filtering Timing Correction Motion Correction A

Rowe

$$
\underset{16 \times 16}{ }=O I O^{T} \rightarrow \underset{16 \times 16}{R_{T}}
$$

Induced Correlation: Example $5 \times 5$ image 8 TRs 2 slices
No Operations
$\mathrm{T}_{2} *$ Decay Apodization, $\mathcal{A}$
$\Delta \mathrm{B}_{0}$ Error


## Rowe

## Utilizing Induced Correlation:

Since for all voxels $\underset{2 m p \times 2 m p}{ }=\left(\begin{array}{c|cc}\Sigma_{1} & & \Sigma_{j k} \\ & \ddots & \\ \Sigma_{j k}^{\prime} & & \Sigma_{p}\end{array}\right) \Rightarrow \Sigma_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\Sigma_{j R} & \Sigma_{j R I} \\ \Sigma_{j R I}^{\prime} & \Sigma_{j I}\end{array}\right)$
and the magnitude ${ }^{2}$ covariance is

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\delta_{j} & = & \operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma_{j}\right)+\mu_{j}^{\prime} \mu_{j} \\
\Lambda_{j j} & = & 2 \operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma_{j}^{\prime} \Sigma_{j}\right)+4 \mu_{j}^{\prime} \Sigma_{j} \mu_{j} \\
\Lambda_{j k} & = & 2 \operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma_{j k}^{\prime} \Sigma_{j k}\right)+4 \mu_{j}^{\prime} \Sigma_{j k} \mu_{k}
\end{array},
$$

## Rowe

## Utilizing Induced Correlation:

Complex-Valued

$$
C_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta_{j 1} & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & \cos \theta_{j n}
\end{array}\right) S_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sin \theta_{j 1} & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & \sin \theta_{j n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\binom{y_{j R}}{y_{j I}}=\binom{C_{j} X \beta_{j}}{S_{j} X \beta_{j}}+\binom{\eta_{j R}}{\eta_{j I}}$

$$
\eta_{j} \sim N(0,{\underset{\uparrow}{j}})
$$

Compute activation individually for each voxel.
Magnitude-Only (assuming high SNR)

$$
m_{j}=X \beta_{j}+\varepsilon_{j}
$$

Incorporate Induced Covariance

$$
\varepsilon_{j} \sim N\left(0, \Lambda_{j}\right)
$$

Can form larger spatio-tempporal model.

## Utilizing Induced Correlation: Complex fMRI

The fMRI data is truly complex-valued images and voxel time series, $y_{t}=y_{R t}+i y_{I t}$.


## Utilizing Induced Correlation: Magnitude-Only fMRI

Complex-valued images to magnitude and phase images and time series, $y_{t}=m_{t} \exp \left[i \varphi_{t}\right]$.


Polar Coordinates Magnitude-Phase

Phase discarded!
(in nearly all fMRI)

## $1 / 2$ of numbers

are discarded
(and processed)
Biological information
in phase through space!
And also through time.

## Utilizing Induced Correlation: Magnitude-Only fMRI

Complex-valued images to magnitude and phase images and
time series, $y_{t}=m_{t} \exp \left[i \varphi_{t}\right]$.


Magnitude-Only
$m_{t}$

Biological information
in phase through space!
And also through time.

## Results: Independent

20s off $+16 \times$ ( 8 s on 8 s off), 276 TRs 12 axial slices, $96 \times 96, F O V=24 \mathrm{~cm}$ $\mathrm{TH}=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{TR}=1 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{TE}=34.6 \mathrm{~ms}$ $\mathrm{FA}=45^{\circ}, \mathrm{BW}=125 \mathrm{kHz}, \mathrm{ES}=.708 \mathrm{~ms}$

20s off $+16 \times(8 \mathrm{~s}$ on 8 s off), 276 TRs 10 axial slices, $96 \times 96, F O V=24 \mathrm{~cm}$ $\mathrm{TH}=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{TR}=1 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{TE}=42.8 \mathrm{~ms}$ $F A=45^{\circ}, B W=125 \mathrm{kHz}, E S=.768 \mathrm{~ms}$

20s off $+16 \times(8 \mathrm{~s}$ on 8 s off), 276 TRs 10 axial slices, $96 \times 96$, FOV $=24 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\mathrm{TH}=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{TR}=1 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{TE}=42.8 \mathrm{~ms}$ $F A=45^{\circ}, B W=125 \mathrm{kHz}, E S=.768 \mathrm{~ms}$

20s off $+10 \times$ ( 8 s on 8 s off), 180 TRs 9 axial slices, $64 \times 64$, FOV $=24 \mathrm{~cm}$ $\mathrm{TH}=3.8 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{TR}=1 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{TE}=26.0 \mathrm{~ms}$ $\mathrm{FA}=45^{\circ}, \mathrm{BW}=125 \mathrm{kHz}, \mathrm{ES}=.680 \mathrm{~ms}$


## Discussion:

When DATA ANLYSTS preprocess RESEARCHERS data,
THEY change the mean and covariance structure.
Many preprocessing operations have been shown
to modify or induce a correlation.
WE need to utilize this correlation in OUR analysis model!
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