Class 27

Daniel B. Rowe, Ph.D.

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences

Be The Difference.

Copyright by D.B. Rowe ¹

Review Chapters 9-12 (Final Exam Chapters)

Just the highlights!

Recap Chapter 9

9: Inferences Involving One Population 9.1 Inference about the Mean *μ* **(σ Unknown)**

In Chapter 8, we performed hypothesis tests on the mean by

1) assuming that \bar{x} was normally distributed (*n* "large"),

2) assuming the hypothesized mean μ_0 were true,

3) assuming that σ was known, so that we could form

$$
z^* = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}
$$
 which with 1) – 3) has standard normal dist.

9: Inferences Involving One Population 9.1 Inference about the Mean *μ* **(σ Unknown)**

However, in real life, we never know σ for

$$
z^* = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}
$$

so we would like to estimate σ by *s*, then use

$$
t^* = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{s / \sqrt{n}} \quad .
$$

But *t** does not have a standard normal distribution.

It has what is called a Student *t*-distribution.

9: Inferences Involving One Population 9.1 Inference about the Mean *μ* **(σ Unknown) Using the** *t***-Distribution Table**

Finding critical value from a Student *t*-distribution, *df*=*n*-1

t(*df*, α), *t* value with α area larger than it

Marquette University Mathematic Contract Contract

 $t(\mathrm{df}, \alpha)$

 $\overline{0}$

 α

9.1 Inference about the Mean *μ* **(σ Unknown)**

Example: Find the value of *t*(10,0.05), $df=10$, $\alpha=0.05$.
Area in One Tail

9: Inferences Involving One Population 9.1 Inference about the Mean *μ* **(σ Unknown)**

Recap 9.1:

Essentially have new critical value, *t*(*df*,*α*) to look up

in a table when σ is unknown. Used same as before.

σ assumed known σ assumed unknown

9: Inferences Involving One Population 9.2 Inference about the Binomial Probability of Success

We talked about a Binomial experiment with two outcomes.

$$
P(x) = \frac{n!}{x!(n-x)!} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}
$$

 $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$
 $x = 0, 1, ..., n$
 $0 \le p \le 1$

 $n = #$ of trials, $x = #$ of successes, $p =$ prob. of success

- **9: Inferences Involving One Population**
- **9.2 Inference about the Binomial Probability of Success**

Background

In Statistics, mean(cx) = $c\mu$ and variance(cx) = $c^2\sigma^2$.

With
$$
p' = \frac{x}{n}
$$
, the constant is $c = \frac{1}{n}$, and
\n $\text{mean}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \text{mean}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)np = \boxed{p = \mu_p}$
\nand the variance of $p' = \frac{x}{n}$ is variance $\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$

standard error of
$$
p' = \frac{x}{n}
$$
 is $\sigma_{p'} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$.

9: Inferences Involving One Population 9.2 Inference about the Binomial Probability of Success

That is where 1. and 2. in the green box below come from

If a random sample of size *n* is selected from a large population with $p = P$ (success), then the sampling distribution of *p*' has:

1. A mean μ_p equal to p

2. A standard error $\sigma_{p'}$ equal to

$$
\frac{p(1-p)}{n}
$$

3. An approximately normal distribution if *n* is sufficiently "large."

- **9: Inferences Involving One Population**
- **9.2 Inference about the Binomial Probability of Success**

For a confidence interval, we would use

Confidence Interval for a Proportion

$$
p' - z(\alpha / 2) \sqrt{\frac{p'q'}{n}}
$$
 to $p' + z(\alpha / 2) \sqrt{\frac{p'q'}{n}}$ (9.6)

where
$$
p' = \frac{x}{n}
$$
 and $q' = (1 - p')$.

Since we didn't know the true value for *p*, we estimate it by *p*'.

This is of the form point estimate \pm some amount.

9: Inferences Involving One Population 9.2 Inference about the Binomial Probability of Success Determining the Sample Size

Using the error part of the CI, we determine the sample size *n*.

Maximum Error of Estimate for a Proportion

$$
E = z(\alpha/2)\sqrt{\frac{p'(1-p')}{n}}
$$
\n(9.7)

Sample Size for 1- *α* **Confidence Interval of** *p* $n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c^2}}}$ gut feelings, séance. Or use 1/2. (9.8) where p^* and q^* are provisional values used for planning. 2 2 $[z(\alpha/2)]^2 p * (1-p^*)$ *n E* $=\frac{[\angle(u/2)] P^{\top}(1-1)}{2}$ α From prior data, experience, gut feelings, séance. Or use 1/2. *q**=1-*p**

9: Inferences Involving One Population 9.2 Inference about the Binomial Probability of Success Hypothesis Testing Procedure

We can perform hypothesis tests on the proportion $H_0: p \ge p_0$ vs. $H_a: p < p_0$ $H_0: p \le p_0$ vs. $H_a: p > p_0$ $H_0: p = p_0$ vs. $H_a: p \neq p_0$

Test Statistic for a Proportion *p* λ $\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{p_0(1-p_0)}}$ with $\sqrt{\frac{10.00 \text{ m/s}}{n}}$ (9.9) 0 0 V^{\perp} P 0 ' $\star = \frac{P - P_0}{\sqrt{P_0(1 - p_0)}}$ *p* — *p z* p_{0} (1 – p *n* $=-\frac{p-1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ − '*x p* = *n*

Rowe, D.B. Assume *n* large for CLT and *z*.

9: Inferences Involving One Population 9.3 Inference about the Variance and Standard Deviation

 Ω

We can perform hypothesis tests on the variance.

*H*₀: σ^2 ≥ σ_0^2 vs. *H_a*: σ^2 < σ_0^2 *H*₀: σ^2 ≤ σ_0^2 vs. *H_a*: σ^2 > σ_0^2 *H*₀: $\sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$ vs. *H_a*: $\sigma^2 \neq \sigma_0^2$

For this hypothesis test, use the χ^2 distribution

1. χ^2 is nonnegative 2. χ^2 is not symmetric, skewed to right 3. χ^2 is distributed to form a family each determined by *df=n-*1. $df = 1$ $df = 4$ $df = 10$ $df = 20$ 5 10 15 20 25 x^2 ∞

Figure from Johnson & Kuby, 2012.

- **9: Inferences Involving One Population**
- **9.3 Inference about the Variance and Standard Deviation**

Will also need critical values.

$$
P(\chi^2 > \chi^2(df,\alpha)) = \alpha
$$

Table 8 Appendix B Page 721

Marquette University Mathematic Contract Contract

 $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$

 χ^2

 χ^2 (df, α)

9: Inferences Involving One Pop. Example: Find $\chi^2(20,0.05)$. Table 8, Appendix B, Page 721.

a) Area to the Right

Figures from Johnson & Kuby, 2012.

Recap Chapter 10

10.2 Inference for Mean Difference Two Dependent Samples Confidence Interval Procedure

Paired Difference $d - x = r$ (10.1) $d = x_1 - x_2$

$$
\bar{d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \qquad s_d^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (d_i - \bar{d})^2 \qquad \mu_{\bar{d}} = \mu_d \quad \sigma_{\bar{d}} = \frac{\sigma_d}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

With $\sigma_{_d}$ unknown, a 1- α confidence interval for μ_{d} =(μ_{1} - μ_{2}) is:

Confidence Interval for Mean Difference (Dependent Samples)

$$
\overline{d}-t(df,\alpha/2)\frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{to} \quad \overline{d}+t(df,\alpha/2)\frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{where } df=n-1 \quad (10.2)
$$

10.2 Inference for Mean Difference Two Dependent Samples

Figure from Johnson & Kuby, 2012.

10.2 Inference for Mean Difference Two Dependent Samples

 $n=6$ 8, 1, 9, -1, 12, 9

Example

Test mean difference of Brand B minus Brand A is zero.

Step 1	H_0 : $\mu_d=0$ vs. H_a : $\mu_d\neq0$	Step 5	Since $t^* > t(df, \alpha/2)$, reject H_0			
Step 2	different	same	diff			
$df = 5$	$t^* = \frac{\overline{d} - \mu_{d0}}{s_d / \sqrt{n}}$	Step 3	$\overline{d} = 6.3$	$s_d = 5.1$	$t^* = \frac{6.3 - 0}{5.1 / \sqrt{6}} = 3.03$	Conclusion: Significant difference
Step 4	$t(df, \alpha/2) = 2.57$	General	Comclusion: Significant difference			
Step 5	Since $t^* > t(df, \alpha/2)$, reject H_0					

Conclusion: Significant difference in tread wear at .05 level.

Figures from Johnson & Kuby, 2012.

10: Inferences Involving Two Populations 10.3 Inference for Mean Difference Two Independent Samples Confidence Interval Procedure

With σ_1 and σ_2 unknown, a 1- α confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ is:

Confidence Interval for Mean Difference (Independent Samples) $(x_1 - x_2) - t(df, \alpha / 2)$ ₁ $\left| \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{2}{a_2} \right|$ to $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} S_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$ $\overline{\overline{x}}_1 - \overline{x}_2$ n_1 ¹ n_2 **Samples)**
 $(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2) - t(df, \alpha / 2) \sqrt{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n}\right) + \left(\frac{s_2}{n}\right)^2}$ $\left(\frac{s_1}{n_1}\right) + \left(\frac{s_2}{n_1}\right)$ $\begin{array}{c}\n\sqrt{3} \\
\sqrt{3} \\
\sqrt{3} \\
\sqrt{1} \\
\sqrt{1} \\
\sqrt{1} \\
\sqrt{1} \\
\sqrt{1} \\
\sqrt{1}\n\end{array}$ **IMPIES)**
 $-\bar{x}_2$) $-t(df, \alpha/2)\sqrt{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1}\right) + \left(\frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)}$ to (α 2 $\sqrt{2}$ 1 $1 \cdot 1$ \cdot \cdot 2 1 \sim 2 1 \prime \prime \prime \prime $(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2) + t(df, \alpha / 2)$ *n n* $\left(s_i^2\right)\left(s_i^2\right)$ $-\bar{x}_2$) + t(df, α / 2) $\sqrt{\frac{n_1}{n_1}}$ + $\frac{n_2}{n_2}$

where df is either calculated or smaller of df_1 , or df_2 (10.8) Actually, this is for $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$.

> If using a computer program.

If not using a computer program.

10.3 Inference Mean Difference Confidence Interval

Example:

Interested in difference in mean heights between men and women. The heights of 20 females and 30 males is measured. Construct a 95% confidence interval for $\mu_{_m}$ – $\mu_{_f}$, $\sigma_{_m}$ & $\sigma_{_f}$ unknown

$$
(\overline{x}_m - \overline{x}_f) \pm t(df, \alpha/2) \sqrt{\left(\frac{s_m^2}{n_m}\right) + \left(\frac{s_f^2}{n_f}\right)}
$$

(69.8 - 63.8) ± 2.09 $\sqrt{\left(\frac{(1.92)^2}{30}\right) + \left(\frac{(2.18)^2}{20}\right)}$ therefore 4.75 to 7.25

therefore 4.75 to 7.25

Figure from Johnson & Kuby, 2012.

10: Inferences Involving Two Populations 10.3 Inference for Mean Difference Two Independent Samples Hypothesis Testing Procedure 27 values

t(df, α */ 2)* = 2.57 **Step 5** Reject *H*₀6.17 > 2.57, height males≠height females

10: Inferences Involving Two Populations 10.4 Inference for Difference between Two Proportions

Explained

That is where 1. and 2. in the green box below come from

If independent samples of size n_1 and n_2 are drawn \dots with p_1 = P_1 (success) and p_2 = P_2 (success), then the sampling distribution of $p'_1 - p'_2$ has these properties:

1. mean
$$
\mu_{p'_1 - p'_2} = p_1 - p_2
$$

2. standard error $\sigma_{p'_1 - p'_2} = \sqrt{\frac{p_1 q_1}{n_1} + \frac{p_2 q_2}{n_2}}$ (10.10)

3. approximately normal dist if n_1 and n_2 are sufficiently large. ie **I** *n*¹ ,*n*2>20 **II** *n*1*p*¹ , *n*1*q*¹ , *n*2*p*² , *n*2*q*2>5 **III** sample<10% of pop

10: Inferences Involving Two Populations 10.4 Inference for Difference between Two Proportions Confidence Interval Procedure

Assumptions for … difference between two proportions p ₁- p ₂: The n_1 ... and n_2 random observations … are selected independently from two populations that are not changing

Confidence Interval for the Difference between Two Proportions $p_1 - p_2$

$$
(p'_{1} - p'_{2}) - z(\alpha/2) \sqrt{\frac{p'_{1}q'_{1}}{n_{1}} + \frac{p'_{2}q'_{2}}{n_{2}}} \quad \text{to} \quad (p'_{1} - p'_{2}) + z(\alpha/2) \sqrt{\frac{p'_{1}q'_{1}}{n_{1}} + \frac{p'_{2}q'_{2}}{n_{2}}}
$$
\n
$$
\text{where } p'_{1} = \frac{x_{1}}{n_{1}} \quad \text{and } p'_{2} = \frac{x_{2}}{n_{2}}.
$$
\n(10.11)

Rowe, D.B.
$$
q'_1 = 1 - p'_1
$$
 $q'_2 = 1 - p'_2$ 26

10: Inferences Involving Two Populations 10.4 Inference for Difference between Two Proportions Confidence Interval Procedure

Example: Another Semester

Construct a 99% CI for proportion of female A's minus male A's difference $p_f - p_m$.

difference
$$
p_f - p_m
$$
.
\n120 values $z(\alpha/2) = 2.58$ $(p'_f - p'_m) \pm z(\alpha/2) \sqrt{\frac{p'_f q'_f}{n_f} + \frac{p'_m q'_m}{n_m}}$
\n $n_m = 52$
\n $n_f = 68$ $p'_f = \frac{x_f}{n_f} = \frac{43}{68} = .62$ $(.62 - .40) \pm 2.58 \sqrt{\frac{(.62)(.38)}{68} + \frac{(.40)(.60)}{52}}$
\n $x_m = 21$ $p'_m = \frac{x_m}{n_m} = \frac{21}{52} = .40$ -.003 to .460

10: Inferences Involving Two Populations 10.4 Inference for Difference between Two Proportions Hypothesis Testing Procedure

We can perform hypothesis tests on the proportion $H_0: p_1 \geq p_2$ vs. $H_a: p_1 < p_2$ $H_0: p_1 \leq p_2$ vs. $H_a: p_1 > p_2$ $H_0: p_1 = p_2$ vs. $H_a: p_1 \neq p_2$ when $p_1 = p_2 = p$. Test Statistic for the Difference between two Proportions- 1**7**1 P2**7**2 1 $\binom{n_2}{2}$ $\binom{n_1}{1}$ $\binom{n_2}{2}$ $p_1 q_1 p_2 q_2 1 1 1$ *pq n n n n* $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $+\frac{P_2T_2}{n_2}=pq\left[\frac{1}{n_1}+\frac{1}{n_2}\right]$

$$
z^* = \frac{(p'_1 - p'_2) - (p_{10} - p_{20})}{\sqrt{pq \left[\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right]}}
$$
 O Population Proportions **Known**
\n
$$
p'_1 = \frac{x_1}{n_1} \quad p'_2 = \frac{x_2}{n_2}
$$
 (10.12)
\n**Rowe, D.B.** A power

 $p'_1 - p'_2$) – ($p_{10} - p_{20}$

 $=\frac{(P_1 - P_2) - (P_{10} - P_{20})}{\sqrt{p'_p q'_p} \left[\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right]}$

 $_{p}^{\prime}q_{p}^{\prime}$

 p'_pq

 n_1 n_2

 \overline{p}_p estimated

 $\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}$

 $\frac{1}{1}$

10: Inferences Involving Two Populations 10.4 Inference for Difference between Two Proportions Hypothesis Testing Procedure

Test Statistic for the Difference between two Proportions-Population Proportions **UnKnown** $* = \frac{(p'_1 - p'_2) - (p_{10} - p_{20})^2}{\sqrt{p_{10} - p_{20}^2}}$ $p'_1 - p'_2$) – ($p_{10} - p$ $p'_1-p'_2)-(p_{10}-p_{20})$

0

$$
\left| \frac{1}{n'} a' \right| \frac{1}{n'} + \frac{1}{n'} \tag{10.15}
$$

where we assume $p_1=p_2$ and use pooled estimate of proportion

$$
p_1' = \frac{x_1}{n_1} \quad p_2' = \frac{x_2}{n_2} \qquad \frac{p_1 q_1}{n_1} + \frac{p_2 q_2}{n_2} = pq \left[\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right] \qquad p_p' = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2} \qquad q_p' = 1 - p_p'
$$

z

10: Inferences Involving Two Populations 10.4 Inference for Difference between Two Proportions Hypothesis Testing Procedure

10: Inferences Involving Two Populations 10.5 Inference for Ratio of Two Variances Two Ind. Samples Hypothesis Testing Procedure

We can perform hypothesis tests on two variances

 H_0 : $\sigma_1^2 \geq \sigma_2^2$ vs. H_a :c H_0 : $\sigma_1^2 \leq \sigma_2^2$ vs. H_a :c $H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ vs. H_a :c $\sigma_1^2 \geq \sigma_2^2$ vs. H_a : $\sigma_1^2 < \sigma_2^2$ $\sigma_1^2 \leq \sigma_2^2$ vs. H_a : $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$ σ_1^- = $\sigma_2^ \sigma_1^2$ \leq σ_2^2 $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$ 2 2 $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$

Assumptions: Independent samples from normal distribution

Test Statistic for Equality of Variances

$$
F^* = \frac{s_n^2}{s_d^2} \qquad \text{with } df_n = n_n - 1 \text{ and } df_d = n_d - 1. \tag{10.16}
$$

$$
F^* = \frac{S_n}{s^2}
$$
 with $df_n = n_n - 1$ and $df_d = n_d - 1$.

Use new table to find areas for new statistic.

 $df_n = n_n - 1$ *df*_d = $n_d - 1$

$$
F(\text{df}_n, \text{df}_d, \alpha) \qquad F
$$

Table 9, Appendix B, Page 722.

df n

		$\bf{2}$	3	4	$\overline{5}$	6	7	8	9	10
$\overline{2}$ 3 4 5	61 8.5 10.1 7.71 6.61	200. 19.0 9.55 6.94 5.79	216. 19.2 9.28 6.59 5.41	225. 19.2 9.12 6.39 5.19	230. 19.3 9.01 6.26 5.05	234. 19.3 8.94 6.16 4.95	237. 19.4 8.89 6.09 4.88	239. 19.4 8.85 6.04 4.82	241. 19.4 8.81 6.00 4.77	242. 19.4 8.79 5.96 4.74
6 7 $\frac{8}{2}$ Degrees of 9 \circ	5.99 5.59 5.32 5.12 4.96	5.14 4.74 4.46 4.26 4.10	4.76 4.35 4.07 3.86 3.71	4.53 4.12 3.84 3.63 3.48	4.39 3.97 3.69 3.48 3.33	4.28 3.87 3.58 3.37 3.22	4.21 3.79 3.50 3.29 3.14	4.15 3.73 3.44 3.23 3.07	4.10 3.68 3.39 3.18 3.02	4.06 3.64 3.35 3.14 2.98
										$Eigurge from$ $labincon$ R $Kuby$ 2012

Figures from Johnson & Kuby, 2012.

 α = 0.05

10: Inferences Involving Two Populations 10.5 Inference for Ratio of Two Variances Two Ind. Samples Hypothesis Testing Procedure \bigstar

One tailed tests: Arrange H_0 & H_a so H_a is always "greater than" $H_0: \sigma_1^2 \ge \sigma_2^2$ vs. $H_a: \sigma_1^2 < \sigma_2^2 \rightarrow H_0: \sigma_2^2 / \sigma_1^2 \le 1$ vs. $H_a:$ $H_0: \sigma_1^2 \leq \sigma_2^2$ vs. $H_a: \sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$ $H_0: \sigma_1^2 / \sigma_2^2 \leq 1$ vs. $H_a:$ $\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } F^* = s_n^2 / s_d^2 > F(df_n, df_d, \alpha).$ 2 2 $1 \cdot 2$ 2 2 $1 - 2$ $\sigma^-_1 \leq \sigma^-_1$ $\sigma_{1} > \sigma_{1}$ \lt $\rm >$ $2, 2$ 2 \cdot \cdot 1 $2, 2$ 1 \cdot \cdot 2 $\sigma_1^2 \leq 1$ $\sigma_{\rm o}^2 \leq 1$ σ_{\circ} / σ σ^- / σ \leq \leq $2, 2$ 2 \cdot \cdot 1 $2, 2$ 1 \cdot \cdot 2 $\sigma_{1}^{2}>1$ $\sigma_{\rm o}^2 > 1$ σ_{\circ} / σ σ^- / σ $\rm >$ $\rm >$ 2 2 $1 - 2$ 2 2 $1 - 2$ $\sigma^{-}_{1} \geq \sigma$ $\sigma^{-} \leq \sigma$ \geq \leq 2 1 2 2 * $F^* =$ ^S *s* = 2 2 2 1 * $F^* =$ ^S *s* =

Two tailed tests: put larger sample variance s^2 in numerator $H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ vs. $H_a: \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2 \rightarrow H_0: \sigma_n^2 / \sigma_d^2 = 1$ vs. $H_a: \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$ $\sigma_n^2 = \sigma_1^2$ if $s_1^2 > s_2^2$, $\sigma_n^2 = \sigma_2^2$ if = $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2 \rightarrow H_0: \sigma_n^2 / \sigma_d^2 = 1$ $=$ 1 vs. H_a : $\sigma_n^2 / \sigma_d^2 \neq 1$ $s_1^2 > s_2^2$ $\sigma_n^2 = \sigma_1^2$ = 2 2 $s_2^2 > s_1^2$ $\sigma_n^2 = \sigma_2^2$ =

Reject H_0 if $F^* = s_n^2 / s_d^2 > F(df_n, df_d, \alpha/2)$.

10: Inferences Involving Two Populations 10.5 Inference for Ratio of Two Variances Two Ind. Samples

Step 1 Is variance of female heights greater than that of males? α = 01 27 values

Recap Chapter 11

11: Applications of Chi-Square 11.1 Chi-Square Statistic Cooling a Great Hot Taste

Quite often we have qualitative data in categories.

Top six ways American adults say they cool their mouths after eating hot sauce: Water **Bread** Milk 43% 19% 15% $7%$ $7%$ $6%$

Putting Out The Fire

Example: Cooling mouth after hot spicy food.

11: Applications of Chi-Square 11.1 Chi-Square Statistic Data Setup

Example: Cooling mouth after hot spicy food.

Data set up: *k* cells C_1, \ldots, C_k that *n* observations sorted into Observed frequencies in each cell O_1, \ldots, O_k $O_1 + ... + O_k = n$ Expected frequencies in each cell E_1, \ldots, E_k $E_1 + ... + E_k = n$

11: Applications of Chi-Square 11.1 Chi-Square Statistic Data Setup

Example: Cooling mouth after hot spicy food.

Data set up: *k* cells C_1, \ldots, C_k that *n* observations sorted into Observed frequencies in each cell O_1, \ldots, O_k $O_1 + ... + O_k = n$ Expected frequencies in each cell E_1, \ldots, E_k $E_1 + ... + E_k = n$

11: Applications of Chi-Square 11.2 Inferences Concerning Multinomial Experiments

Example: We work for Las Vegas Gaming Commission. We have received many complaints that dice at particular casino are loaded (weighted, not fair). We confiscate all dice and test one. We roll it *n*=60 times. We get following data.

Expected Value for Multinomial Experiment:

$$
E_i = np_i \tag{11.3}
$$

11: Applications of Chi-Square 11.2 Inferences Concerning Multinomial Experiments

Example: We work for Las Vegas Gaming Commission. We have received many complaints that dice at particular casino are loaded (weighted, not fair). We confiscate all dice and test one. We roll it *n*=60 times. We get following data.

Expected Value for Multinomial Experiment:

$$
E_i = np_i \tag{11.3}
$$

11: Applications of Chi-Square 11.3 Inferences Concerning Contingency Tables *Test of Independence*

Is "Preference for math-science, social science, or humanities" … "independent of the gender of a college student?"

Sample Results for Gender and Subject Preference

Figure from Johnson & Kuby, 2012.

11: Applications of Chi-Square 11.3 Inferences Concerning Contingency Tables *Test of Independence*

Is "Preference for math-science, social science, or humanities" … "independent of the gender of a college student?"

There is a Hypothesis test (of independence) to determine this. Is Favorite Subject independent of Gender.

Similar to Example with the Die, now have rows *i* and columns *j*. Observed values, *Oij*'s.

 $e^{2} * = \sum \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})}{2}$

 $=$ $\sum \frac{(U_{ij} -$

 $=$ \sum

 χ

all cells L_{ij}

 (11.4)

ij ij

E

 O $-E$

2

11: Applications of Chi-Square 11.3 Inferences Concerning Contingency Tables

Test of Independence

D of F for Contingency Tables: $df = (r-1)(c-1)$

r>1,*c*>1

Where does this formula for E_{ii} 's come from?

rows *i* and columns *j*

11: Applications of Chi-Square 11.3 Inferences Concerning Contingency Tables *Test of Independence*

$$
E_{ij} = \frac{R_i C_j}{n}
$$

= $(2-1)(3 -$
ason & Kuby, 20
44

Where does this formula for *Eij*'s come from? **Favorite Subject Area** Gender **MS SS** H **Total** 37 (29.28) *r*=2 Male 41 (45.95) 44 (46.77) 122 Female 35 (42.72) 72 (67.05) 71 (68.23) 178 *c*=3 Total 72 113 115 300

If Favorite Subject is independent of Gender, then

$$
\chi^{2*} = \sum_{all \, cells} \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}} < \chi^2(2,0.05) \qquad \text{a=0.05}
$$

\n
$$
\chi^{2*} = 4.604 < \chi^2(2,0.05) = 5.99
$$

\n
$$
\chi^{2*} = 4.604 < \chi^2(2,0.05) = 5.99
$$

\nFigure from Johnson & Kuby, 2012.

11: Applications of Chi-Square 11.3 Inferences Concerning Contingency Tables *Test of Independence*

$$
\chi^{2*} = \sum_{all \ cells} \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^{2}}{E_{ij}} \ll \chi^{2}((r-1)(c-1), \alpha)
$$

Figure from Johnson & Kuby, 2012.

Rowe, D.B.

$$
E_{ij} = \frac{R_i C_j}{n}
$$

45

11: Applications of Chi-Square 11.3 Inferences Concerning Contingency Tables *Test of Homogeneity*

Is the distribution within all rows the same for all rows?

α=0.05

SET of Homogeneity
\n
$$
E_{ij} = \frac{R_{ij}C_{j}}{n}
$$

\n $\frac{R_{ij}}{n}$
\n $\frac{R_{ij}}{n}$

Rowe, D.B.

R C

n

ij

=

E

r=3 *c*=2

Recap Chapter 12

12: Analysis of Variance 12.1 Introduction to the Analysis of Variance

Previously we learned about hypothesis testing for: One Population: μ , p , and $σ²$. Two Populations: $\mu_d = \mu_1 - \mu_2$, $\mu_1 - \mu_2$, $p_1 - p_2$, and σ $^2_1/\sigma^2_2$.

(We also learned about hypothesis testing for contingency tables.)

Now we are going to study hypothesis testing for three or more populations.

Three Populations: at least two of $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \ldots$ different.

12: Analysis of Variance 12.1 Introduction to the Analysis of Variance

If we are testing for differences in means, …why are we analyzing variance?

As it turns out, we calculate two variances and take the ratio.

If all the means are truly the same, the two variances will be the same and the ratio will be 1.

12: Analysis of Variance 12.1 Introduction to the Analysis of Variance Hypothesis Testing Procedure

Step 1
$$
H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3
$$
 VS.
\n $H_a: \text{ at least two } \mu \text{'s different}$
\nStep 2

SS(error)

df(error)

 $MS(error) =$

Sum of Squares Due to Factor

$$
SS(factor) = \left(\frac{C_1^2}{k_1} + \frac{C_2^2}{k_2} + \frac{C_3^2}{k_3} + \cdots\right) - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n} \quad \text{d}f(factor) = c - 1 \quad \text{MS(factor)} = \frac{SS(factor)}{\text{d}f(factor)}
$$

Sum of Squares Due to Error

$$
SS\text{(error)} = \sum(x^2) - \left(\frac{C_1^2}{k_1} + \frac{C_2^2}{k_2} + \frac{C_3^2}{k_3} + \cdots\right) \qquad \text{d}f\text{(error)} = n - c
$$

Shortcut for Total Sum of Squares

$$
SS[\text{total}] = \sum (x^2) - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n}
$$

 $\alpha = .05$

$$
df(total) = n - 1
$$

12: Analysis of Variance 12.1 Introduction to the Analysis of Variance Source Hypothesis Testing Procedure Tomporaturo

⁵¹ **Rowe, D.B.**

MS

SS

 Q_1 5

 df

 \bigcirc

Statistical Inference

Statistical Inference:

Statistical Inference: Procedures for *μ*

Statistical Inference: Procedures for $μ_1$ **-** $μ_2$

Statistical Inference: Procedures for $μ_1, ..., μ_k$

Statistical Inference: Procedures for *p*

Statistical Inference: Procedures for p_1 - p_2

Sum probabilities of more extreme values to get *p*-value

Rowe, D.B.

1 \mathcal{N}_2

2

n

 $x + x$

 $n'_{p} = \frac{x_{1} + x_{2}}{n_{1} + x_{3}}$

n n

 $1 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2$

p

1

n

p

Statistical Inference: Procedures for *σ* **2**

Assume or Test for Independent Observations

Statistical Inference: Procedures for σ_1^2 **,** σ_2^2 $_1$, \mathbf{v}_2

Statistical Inference: Procedures for $\sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_k^2$ 1900.9 \mathbf{v}_k

Final Exam

Monday 12/11/23 10:30am - 12:30pm Cudahy Hall 001